

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Offise Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. CJ-HR-1 4037 12/16/2003 Clinton Jackson SR. 10/735,780 06/08/2004 **EXAMINER** 7590 WILSON, LEE D Henry W. Cummings 3313 W. Adams St. **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER St. Charles, MO 63301

3723

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/735	,780	JACKSON ET AL.	Q_{μ}
•	Office Action Summary	Examir	ner	Art Unit	
			WILSON	3723	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	'ess
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN IN IN IT IS A STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN IN IT IS A STATUTORY PERIOD IN IT IN IT IS A STATUTO	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the statute.	event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) dd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this com IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on			
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3)	,—				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachmen	• •		∆ , □	(070 405)	
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [y (PTO-413) Date	
3) 🔲 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/735,780 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 2-10 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. The following lack proper antecedent basis.
 - i. The preamble of claims 2-10 and 12-20 should recite -- The -- instead of "An" in the preambles of the dependent claims in order to have proper antecedent basis.
- b. The following words have been misspelled or are indefinite.
 - ii. "brazinf" in claim 4, line 4.
 - iii. There are two periods in claim 3 which makes it indefinite.
 - iv. Claims 3 and 4 recite "or" which claims limitations in the alternative.The applicant should use a Markush format instead.
 - v. "its" in claim 9, line 3. This term should be positively recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1- 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Martin et al (5722641).

Application/Control Number: 10/735,780

Art Unit: 3723

Martin et al discloses an automobile pneumatic jack assembly having cylinders (fig.2&20), at least one compressor (90), at least one distribution manifold (72), electronic controls (figs 5&6 and 80 has a hold fast position which is equivalent to locking.)

4. Claims 11- 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated Schneider et al (5176391).

Schneider et al discloses the claimed invention in particular a electrical interlock switch (230 and col.11, lines 66-67 and col.2, lines 1-3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider et al (5176391).
 - c. Schneider et al (5176391) is discussed above.
 - d. Schneider et al discloses the claimed invention except for a key. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have use a key switch instead of a button switch, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. (Therefore, the key switch like the*

Art Unit: 3723

equivalent button switch can be used to turn the jack on and off or prevent accidental activation).

- 7. Claims 14-15 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider et al (5176391) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Martin et al (5722641).
 - e. Schneider et al is discussed above.
 - f. Schneider et al does not disclose three position rocker switches.
 - g. Martin et al discloses an invention having a jacking system using three position rocker switches which allow the jack to having three positions.
 - h. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to have modified the Schneider device by replacing the activation switches with three position rocker switches as taught by martin et al which allow the jack to having three positions.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 16-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Uriarte, Spence and Dagnese disclose a device.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D WILSON whose telephone number is 703-305-4094. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ldw

June 1, 2004